§60.105(a)(9). The average percent reduction and average sulfur dioxide concentration shall be determined using the procedures specified under §60.106(h):

- (ii) The average emission rate of sulfur dioxide in the gases discharged to the atmosphere from any fluid catalytic cracking unit catalyst regenerator for which the owner or operator seeks to comply with \$60.104(b)(2) exceeds 9.8 kg  $SO_X$  per 1,000 kg coke burnoff, as measured by the daily testing prescribed under \$60.106(i). The average emission rate shall be determined using the procedures specified under \$60.106(i); and
- (iii) The average sulfur content of the fresh feed for which the owner or operator seeks to comply with §60.104(b)(3) exceeds 0.30 percent by weight. The fresh feed sulfur content, a 7-day rolling average, shall be determined using the procedures specified under §60.106(j).
- (2) Any 30-day period in which the minimum data requirements specified in §60.104(d) are not obtained.
- (3) For each 7-day period during which an exceedance has occurred as defined in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iii) and (c)(2) of this section:
- (i) The date that the exceedance occurred;
  - (ii) An explanation of the exceedance;
- (iii) Whether the exceedance was concurrent with a startup, shutdown, or malfunction of the fluid catalytic cracking unit or control system; and
- (iv) A description of the corrective action taken, if any.
  - (4) If subject to §60.104(b)(1),
- (i) The dates for which and brief explanations as to why fewer than 18 valid hours of data were obtained for the inlet continuous monitoring system:
- (ii) The dates for which and brief explanations as to why fewer than 18 valid hours of data were obtained for the outlet continuous monitoring system;
- (iii) Identification of times when hourly averages have been obtained based on manual sampling methods;
- (iv) Identification of the times when the pollutant concentration exceeded full span of the continuous monitoring system; and

- (v) Description of any modifications to the continuous monitoring system that could affect the ability of the continuous monitoring system to comply with Performance Specifications 2 or 3.
- (vi) Results of daily drift tests and quarterly accuracy assessments as required under appendix F, Procedure 1.
- (5) If subject to §60.104(b)(2), for each day in which a Method 8 sample result was not obtained, the date for which and brief explanation as to why a Method 8 sample result was not obtained, for approval by the Administrator.
- (6) If subject to §60.104(b)(3), for each 8-hour shift in which a feed sulfur measurement was not obtained, the date for which and brief explanation as to why a feed sulfur measurement was not obtained, for approval by the Administrator.
- (d) For any periods for which sulfur dioxide or oxides emissions data are not available, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall submit a signed statement indicating if any changes were made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit. Operations of the control system and affected facility during periods of data unavailability are to be compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability.
- (e) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall submit the reports required under this subpart to the Administrator semiannually for each sixmonth period. All semiannual reports shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of each six-month period.
- (f) The owner or operator of the affected facility shall submit a signed statement certifying the accuracy and completeness of the information contained in the report.

[54 FR 34029, Aug. 17, 1989, as amended at 55 FR 40178, Oct. 2, 1990; 64 FR 7465, Feb. 12, 1999]

# § 60.108 Performance test and compliance provisions.

(a) Section 60.8(d) shall apply to the initial performance test specified under

#### § 60.109

paragraph (c) of this section, but not to the daily performance tests required thereafter as specified in §60.108(d). Section 60.8(f) does not apply when determining compliance with the standards specified under §60.104(b). Performance tests conducted for the purpose of determining compliance under §60.104(b) shall be conducted according to the applicable procedures specified under §60.106.

(b) Owners or operators who seek to comply with §60.104(b)(3) shall meet that standard at all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunctions.

(c) The initial performance test shall consist of the initial 7-day average calculated for compliance with §60.104(b)(1), (b)(2), or (b)(3).

(d) After conducting the initial performance test prescribed under §60.8, the owner or operator of a fluid catalytic cracking unit catalyst regenerator subject to §60.104(b) shall conduct a performance test for each successive 24-hour period thereafter. The daily performance tests shall be conducted according to the appropriate procedures specified under §60.106. In the event that a sample collected under §60.106(i) or (j) is accidentally lost or conditions occur in which one of the samples must be discontinued because of forced shutdown, failure of an irreplaceable portion of the sample train. extreme meteorological conditions, or other circumstances, beyond the owner or operators' control, compliance may be determined using available data for the 7-day period.

(e) Each owner or operator subject to §60.104(b) who has demonstrated compliance with one of the provisions of §60.104(b) but a later date seeks to comply with another of the provisions of §60.104(b) shall begin conducting daily performance tests as specified under paragraph (d) of this section immediately upon electing to become subject to one of the other provisions of §60.104(b). The owner or operator shall furnish the Administrator with a written notification of the change in the semiannual report required §60.107(e).

[54 FR 34030, Aug. 17, 1989, as amended at 55 FR 40178, Oct. 2, 1990; 64 FR 7466, Feb. 12, 1990]

#### § 60.109 Delegation of authority.

- (a) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, the authorities contained in paragraph (b) of this section shall be retained by the Administrator and not transferred to a State.
- (b) Authorities which shall not be delegated to States:
  - (1) Section 60.105(a)(13)(iii),
  - (2) Section 60.106(i)(12).

[54 FR 34031, Aug. 17, 1989, as amended at 55 FR 40178, Oct. 2, 1990]

Subpart K—Standards of Performance for Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After June 11, 1973, and Prior to May 19, 1978

## § 60.110 Applicability and designation of affected facility.

- (a) Except as provided in §60.110(b), the affected facility to which this subpart applies is each storage vessel for petroleum liquids which has a storage capacity greater than 151,412 liters (40,000 gallons).
- (b) This subpart does not apply to storage vessels for petroleum or condensate stored, processed, and/or treated at a drilling and production facility prior to custody transfer.
- (c) Subject to the requirements of this subpart is any facility under paragraph (a) of this section which:
- (1) Has a capacity greater than 151, 416 liters (40,000 gallons), but not exceeding 246,052 liters (65,000 gallons), and commences construction or modification after March 8, 1974, and prior to May 19, 1978.
- (2) Has a capacity greater than 246,052 liters (65,000 gallons) and commences construction or modification after June 11, 1973, and prior to May 19, 1978.

[42 FR 37937, July 25, 1977, as amended at 45 FR 23379, Apr. 4, 1980]

### § 60.111 Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them in the Act and in subpart A of this part.